



Journal of Global Pharma Technology

Available Online at: www.jgpt.co.in

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Single Nuclear Polymorphisms of VEGF, TGF-β1, MMP9 Genes in Type 2 Diabetic Foot Ulcer Patients in Indonesian Population: A Case Control Study

Dedy Pratama¹, Akhmadu Muradi¹, Dwi A Suryandari², Patrianef¹, Setyawati B³, Kemas M Dahlan⁴, Igab Krisna Wibawa⁵, Tom Ch Adriani⁶

- 1 Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital.
- 2 Department of Medical Biology, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia.
- 3 Department of Community Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Universitas Indonesia.
- 4 Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sriwijaya Mohammad Hoesin Hospital, Palembang.
- 5 Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Udayana Sanglah Hospital, Denpasa.r
- 6 Department of Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Hasanuddin Tadjuddin Chalid Hospital, Makassar.

*Corresponding Author: Dedy Pratama

Abstract

Objective: Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-81) and matrix metalloprotein-9 (MMP9) are known to have roles in the process of diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) formation. VEGF rs2010963C>G rs1271283232T>C, TGF-81 rs1982073C>T rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T rs367601348A>G genes polymorphism may result in differences in the quantity and quality of the proteins which influence the risk of DFU formation. This study aims to assess the difference in frequency distribution of certain VEGF, TGF-81, and MMP9 genes polymorphism between diabetic patients with and without DFU. Methods: A case-control study was conducted among patients with type-2 DM with DFU (case) and without DFU (control) in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital Jakarta, with DNA analysis using Polymerase Chain Reaction Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) technique. The confounding factors are also analyzed. Results: A total of 197 patients was assessed, 96 with DFU and 101 control. The genotype analysis by logistic regression found CTTGF-81 significant association of genotype in rs1982073C>T (OR:0.28;95%CI:0.13-0.60;p=0.001 compared with CC); TT genotype in TGF-81 rs1800469C>T, (OR:2.37;95%CI:1.11-0.60;p=0.001 compared with CC); TC genotype in MMP9 rs3918242C>T (OR:0.19;95%CI:0.19-0.64;p=0.001 compared with CC). There are no significant association in any mutation in VEGF rs2010963C>G, rs1271283232T>C, and MMP9 rs367601348A>G. Conclusion: VEGF rs2010963C>G rs1271283232T>C, and MMP9 rs367601348A>G polymorphisms did not have significant association with DFU formation. CT in TGF-61 rs1982073C>T and TC in MMP9 rs3918242C>T found as protective factor for DFU, and TT in TGF-81 rs1800469C>T as risk factor for DFU.

Keywords: Diabetic foot ulcer, Genetic polymorphism, VEGF, MMP9, TGF-β1, rs2010963C>G, rs1271283232T>C, rs1982073C>T, rs1800469C>T, rs3918242C>T rs367601348A>G

Introduction

One of the severe complications that may potentially affect patients with diabetes mellitus (DM) is a diabetic foot ulcer (DFU). Terminologically, DFU represents all foot lesions occurring as an aftermath of diabetes or its complications [1]. DFU is one of the main causes of hospitalization in DM

patients [1, 2]. In developing countries, the incidence of DM among the elderly is very high. Every year, 4 million people with DM develop diabetic foot complications. Around the world, one case requiring amputation due to DM occurs every 30 seconds [1]. DFU develops as a result of chronic

hyperglycemia in DM that leads to neuropathy, trauma, infection, and ischemia (ankle-brachial index [ABI] score less than 0.7, toe pressure <40 mmHg, transcutaneous oxygen tension [TcPO₂] <30 mmHg) [3-5].Neuropathy, whether it be sensoric, motoric or autonomic will induce various changes in the skin, muscles, tendons, and bones, creating a change of pressure distribution over the soles of the feet, facilitating the formation of ulcers [3-5].

Neuropathy, recurrent trauma, poor limb vascularization and infection will affect DFU formation and influence wound healing in patients with DM [6]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) have significant role in mediating micro vascular complication in DM such as diabetic retinopathy, and neuropathy.

VEGF mitogenic effect on endothelial and non-endothelial cell are thought to be the mechanism in which VEGF contribute to DM complications [7-11]. VEGF plays an the formation angiogenic role in of microcirculation, as demonstrated in Peripheral Arterial Disease (PAD) and Critical Limb Ischemia (CLI) [7].Higher level of VEGF has been associated with type complication, DMand its polymorphism is one of the factors that regulate VEGF levels and production [11, 12].

VEGF rs2010963C>G is a polymorphism of 5' prime untranslated (UTR) region. The polymorphism at +405 predicted to lie within a potential myeloid zinc finger protein (MZF1) binding site in which C allele reduce the binding specificity of this transcription factor binding motifs. Thus, G allele associated with increases VEGF production [12].

VEGF rs1271283232T>C is polymorphism located in regulatory region VEGF gene. \mathbf{C} allele of VEGF rs1271283232 gene associated with higher level of VEGF, while T allele reduces the production of VEGF [11, 12]. Transforming growth factor-B1 (TGF-\(\theta\)1) is a pleiotropic cytokine that plays a key immunoregulatory role in the activation of inflammation and resolution of the inflammatory response as a variation of autoimmune diseases. Increased level of glucose induces the rise of TGF-β1 level.

TGF-81 regulates the production of almost all extracellular matrix (ECM) molecules. From the said evidence, the expression of TGF-81 is associated with the risk of developing DM and its complications [12-14]. Number of studies have been conducted to evaluate the relationship between TGF-81 polymorphism and the risk of developing DM and its complication. However, the results are still within reach of the conflicts that have previously been reported by prior studies [15-17].

Some of the known polymorphism in TGF-81 genes are TGF-81 rs1982073 and TGF-81 rs1800469. TGF-81 rs1982073C>T is a single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) located in the first exon of the TGF-81 gene. The C allele of TGF-81 rs1982073 gene that codes proline has been associated with microvascular complication of DM, such as nephropathy, retinopathy and also neuropathy that is the main cause of DFU formation [16].

TGF-81 rs1800469, also known as -509 C>T, is an SNP in the promoter region of TGF-81 gene. This SNP does not change the nature of the TGF-81 protein, instead it changes the amount of the protein produced.

The T allele increases the amount of TGF-81 produced, by preventing AP1 from binding to this region where the C allele would normally down regulate production [17].Matrix Metalloprotein 9 (MMP9) is a form of gelatinase that degrades ECM protein, playing an important role in vascular remodeling. Genetic abnormalities disrupt MMP9 protein synthesis, indirectly affecting the process of wound healing. MMP9 gene polymorphism is strongly thought to influence the process of ulcer formation and wound healing in patients with DFU [16, 17]. The most common variation of MMP9 is MMP9 rs3918242C>T SNP also known -1562C>T, even though the output is still controversial.

Several studies indicate that the function of MMP9 rs3918242C>T polymorphism promoter is associated with DFU. However, this has not been confirmed by other studies, calling interest for further investigations.[14] Other known SNP is MMP9 rs367601348 that located in coding sequence of MMP9 gene. MMP9

rs367601348 is a missense variant in which A allele codes Threonine (AAG), while G allele codes Alanine (GAG) although the phenotype effects of this polymorphism have not been observed yet.[19] Hence, we want to study the genes distribution of VEGF rs2010963C>G, rs1271283232T>C, TGF B-1 rs1982073C>T, rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T, rs367601348A>G and its association with DFU.

Methods

A case-control study was conducted with the aim to assess the frequency distribution of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) rs2010963C>G rs1271283232T>C, transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF-81) rs1982073C>T rs1800469C>T and matrix metalloprotein 9 (MMP9) rs3918242C>T rs367601348A>G genes polymorphism and their association with diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) in type-2 diabetic patients in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital. This study was conducted under the Division of Vascular Endovascular Surgery and Faculty Medicine Universitas Indonesia (FMUI)-Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital Jakarta in collaboration with Biomolecular Microbiology FMUI-Cipto Laboratory Mangunkusumo Hospital Jakarta.

Blood samples were obtained from patients selected with consecutive sampling within technique time limit between September-December 2016 with minimum samples of 85 in each group. All type-2 DM patients with DFU managed in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital who met the inclusion and exclusion criteria recorded and categorized into a case group (patients with DFU) and control group consists of type-2 DM patients without DFU. DNA extraction from peripheral blood samples conducted using Wizard® Genomic DNA Purification Kit according to Isolating Genomic DNA from Whole Blood (3 ml Sample Volume) procedure.

The VEGF rs2010963C>G gene PCR was performed as follows: The chosen F primer was 5' GGG CGG TGT CTG TCT GTC TG 3' and R primer was 5' CGA CGG CTT GGG GAG ATT GC 3'. PCR temperature was set at 95°C, for 3 minutes; 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds; and 72°C for 7 minutes results in 237 bp band. RFLP procedure using BsmF1 enzyme at 65°C for 60 minutes.

The result of PCR-RFLP was expected to show a CC genotype band at distances of 170 bp and 103 bp, GG genotype at 273 bp, and CG genotype at 273 bp, 170 bp, and 103 bp. PCR of VEGF rs271283232 T>C gene was performed as follows: using F primer of 5' CCT CTT TAG CCA GAG CCG GGG 3', and R primer of 5' TGG CCT TCT CCC CGC TCC GAC 3'. PCR temperature was set at 95°C, for 3 minutes; 95°C for 30 seconds, 66°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds; and 72°C for 7 minutes results in 176bp bands.

RFLP process used Bsa H1 as restriction enzyme the results were expected to show a CC genotype band (160bp and 16 bp), TT (176 bp) and TC (176bp, 60bp and 16 bp).PCR of TGF-\(\beta\)1 rs1800469 C>T gene was performed as follows: using F primer of 5' GTC GCA GGG TGT TGA GTG ACA 3', and R primer of 5' AGG GGG CAA CAG GAC ACC TTA 3'. PCR temperature was set at 95°C, for 3 minutes; 95°C for 30 seconds, 60°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds; and 72°C for 7 minutes results in 123bp bands. RFLP process used AF1II restriction enzyme set at 65°C for 20 minutes. The results were expected to show a CC genotype band (123bp), TT (101bp and 22bp) and CT (123bp,101bp and 22bp).

PCR of TGF-61 rs1982073 C>T gene was performed as follows: using F primer of 5' CTC CGG GCT GCG GCT GCA GC 3', and R primer of 5' GGC CTC GAT GCG CTT CCG CTT CA 3'. PCR temperature was set at 95°C, for 3minutes; 95°C for 30 seconds, 66°C for 30 seconds, 72°C for 30 seconds; and 72°C for 7 minutes results in 139bp bands. RFLP process used PvuII. The results were expected to show a CC genotype band (139bp), TT (117bp and 22bp) and CT (139bp, 117bp and 22bp). PCR of MMP9 rs3918242C>T gene was performed as follows: using F primer of 5' GCC TGG CAC ATA GTA GGC CC 3', and R primer of 5' CTT CCT AGC CAG CCG GCA TC 3'.

PCR temperature was set to perform 30 cycles in 1 minute at 94°C, 1 minute at 58°C, and 1 minute at 72°C results in 436 bp bands. RFLP process used Sph1 as restriction enzyme set at 58°C for 60 minutes. The results were expected to show a CC genotype band (436bp), TT (224bp and 192bp) and CT (436bp, 224bp and 192bp).

Polymerase chainreaction (PCR) amplification of MMP-9 rs367601348A>G was done at mutation region using an existing primer with forward (5'-GCC TGG CAC ATA GTA GGC CC-3') and reverse primer (5'-CTT CCT AGC CAG CCG GCA TC-3') at the promoter sequence of MMP-9 gene. PCR was set to perform 30 cycles in 1 minute at 94°C, 1 minute at 58°C, and 1 minute at 72°C and produced 439bp. 439-bp prepared fragment dissolved with Sph1 enzyme at 37°C for 1 hour. When a A>G transition occurred, the formed 439-bp fragment split into 2 sub-fragments, 252 bp and 187 bp.

Results

The total study sample consisted of 197 patients, 96 patients (49%) representing DM patients with DFU and 101(51%) representing DM patients without DFU. Characteristics patients for each group showed in the Table 1. In total, sexes distribution are 49.2% male and 50.8% female, with an age average of 56.33 years, ranging from 34 to 90 years. Using Chi

Square analysis, significant characteristic between group difference found peripheral neuropathy (DFU+:93.8%, DFU-: 61.4%, p<0.001), rest pain (DFU+:34.4%, DFU-:4%, p<0.001), Smoking (DFU+:57.3%, 30.7%, p<0.001), hypertension (DFU+:60.4%, DFU-: 36.6%, p<0.001), and peripheral artery disease (DFU+:56.2%, DFU-: 10.9%, p<0.001). Laboratory analysis of the blood samples also show significant difference between group for anemia determined (DFU+:37.5%, DFU-: 12.9%, p<0.001), Leukocytosis (DFU+:77.1%, DFU-: p < 0.001), and Hyperglycemia (DFU+:56.2%, DFU-: 40.6%, p<0.001).

Risk of DFU increased by these factors are as follows: Diabetic neuropathy (OR; 9.43, 95% CI; 3.76-23.63 and p<0.001); hypertension (OR; 2.64, 95%CI; 1.48-4.69 and p=0.001); smoking (OR 3.02, 95%CI; 1.68-5.43 and p<0.001); PAD (OR;10.51, 95%CI; 4.99-22.15 and p<0.001); anemia (OR;4.06, 95%CI; 1.98-8.29 and p<0.001); leukocytosis (OR;7.25, 95% CI; 3.84-13.67 and p<0.001); and hyperglycemia (OR;1.88, 95% CI; 1.06-3.31 and p<0.001).

Table. 1: Demographic characteristics of patients with diabetic foot ulcer (DFU) Type-2 DM in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital during the Period of September to December 2016 (n=197)

DFU (+) DFU (-) Variable n=96 n=101 OR 95% CI p* % % n n Age (years) ≥ 70 8 8.3 6 5.91.26 0.37 - 4.33 $0.707^{\rm lr}$ 60 - 6929 30.2 23 22.8 1.18 0.52 - 2.750.671lr 50 - 5939 40.6 53 52.5 0.690.33 - 1.480.351lr < 50 20 20.8 19 18.8 Ref 48 50.0 49 48.5 1.06 0.60 - 1.85Sex (male) 0.835^{cs} Ethnicity 70 72.9 73.3 1.32 0.34 - 5.120.687 lrJawa 74 2121.9 23 22.8 0.96 0.49 - 1.89 0.918^{lr} Sumatera Others 5.2 4.0 Ref 5 4 Duration of \overline{DM} ≥ 10 52 54.246 45.5 1.52 0.75 - 3.070.237lr 25.0 27.7 1.15 0.52 - 2.560.719lr 5 - 924 28 20.8 < 5 20 27 26.7 Ref BMI 20.8 0.980.974lr Overweight 18 18.8 21 0.41 - 2.35Normoweight 58 60.457 56.41.17 0.58 - 2.36 $0.661 ^{\rm lr}$ Underweight 20 20.8 23 22.8 Ref 3.76 -23.63 <0.001cs Neuropathy 90 93.8 62 61.4 9.43 Rest pain 33 34.4 4 4.0 12.70 4.29 - 37.59<0.001cs 30.7 <0.001cs Smoking 55 57.3 31 3.02 1.68 - 5.4336.6 2.64 0.001^{cs} Hypertension 58 60.4 37 1.48 - 4.6956.2 10.9 10.51 4.99 - 22.15< 0.001 **PAD** 54 11 36 37.5 12.9 4.06 1.98 - 8.29< 0.001 Anemia 13 3.84 - 13.67Leukocytosis 74 32 31.7 7.25 < 0.001 77.1Hyperglycemia 56.2 41 40.6 1.88 1.06 - 3.310.028 54

The total frequency distribution of VEGF

rs2010963C>G is 7.6% wild-type CC, 71.6%

^{*}Chi Square analysis

mutant heterozygote CG, and 20.8% mutant homozygote GG. In total, the mutant genotype compose 92.4% of genotype. Allele analysis found 223(56.6%) mutant G allele, and 171 (43.4%) C allele. The genotypic distribution of the VEGF rs1271283232T>C gene was 14.2% mutant CC, 39.1% mutant heterozygote TC, and 46% wild type homozygote TT. Allele distributions shows T as wild type allele composed 261(66.2%) of total allele, and 133(33.8) of C allele.

The total frequency distribution of TGF-81 rs1982073C>T is 60.4% wild-type CC, 23.9% mutant heterozygote CT, and 15.7% mutant homozygote TT. Allele analysis found 109(27.7%) mutant T allele, and 285 (72.3%) C allele. The genotypic distribution of the TGF-81 rs1800469C>T gene was 33.5% wild-type CC, 41.6% mutant heterozygote CT, and 24.9% mutant homozygote TT.

Allele distributions shows T as a mutant allele composed 180(45.7%) of total allele, 214(54.3) of C allele. Frequency distribution of MMP9 rs3918242C>T is 51.8% wild-type CC, 45.7% mutant heterozygote CT, and 2.5%mutant homozygote TT. In total, the mutant genotype compose 48.2% of genotype. Allele analysis found 294 (74.6%) mutant T allele. and 100(25.4%) C allele.

The genotypic distribution of MMP9 rs367601348A>G gene was 17.3% wild-type AA, 48.2% mutant heterozygote AG, and 34.5% mutant homozygote GG. Allele distributions shows G as a mutant allele compose 231(58.6%) of total allele, and 163(41.4%) of C allele. The frequency distributions of the genes for DFU+ and DFU- groups are presented in (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of Genotypes and Alleles in VEGF rs2010963C>G, VEGF rs1271283232T>C, TGF B-1 rs1982073C>T, TGF B-1 rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T, MMP9 rs367601348A>G Gene Polymorphisms in Patients with Diabetic Foot Ulcer in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital

			DFU (+)		DFU (-)		Total	
Gene	Polymorphism		n	n=96	n:	=101	n=197	
		n	%	n	%	N	%	
VEGF	rs2010963C>G	GG	18	18.8	23	22.8	41	20.8
		CG	69	71.9	72	71.3	141	71.6
		$^{\rm CC}$	9	9.4	6	5.9	15	7.6
		G	105	54.7	118	58.4	223	56.6
		C	87	45.3	84	41.6	171	43.4
	rs1271283232T>C	CC	13	13.5	15	14.9	28	14.2
		TC	41	42.7	36	35.6	77	39.1
		TT	42	43.8	50	49.5	92	46.7
		C	67	34.9	66	32.7	133	33.8
		T	125	65.1	136	67.3	261	66.2
TGF-61	rs1982073C>T	CC	65	67.7	54	53.5	119	60.4
		CT	12	12.5	35	34.7	47	23.9
		TT	19	19.8	12	11.9	31	15.7
		C	142	74.0	143	70.8	285	72.3
		T	50	26.0	59	29.2	109	27.7
	rs1800469C>T	CC	25	26.0	41	40.6	66	33.5
		CT	42	43.8	40	39.6	82	41.6
		TT	29	30.2	20	19.8	49	24.9
		C	92	47.9	122	60.4	214	54.3
		Т	100	52.1	80	39.6	180	45.7
343500	00100100 7		0.0	05.0	0.0	200.0	100	F1 ^
MMP9	rs3918242C>T	CC	63	65.6	39	38.6	102	51.8
		TC	33	34.4	57	56.4	90	45.7
		TT	0	0	5	5.0	5	2.5
		C	150	100	10*	00.0	90.4	
		C T	159	100	135	66.8	294	74.6
		Т	33	0	67	33.2	100	25.4

rs367601348A>G	AA	19	19.8	15	14.9	34	17.3
	AG	39	40.6	56	55.4	95	48.2
	GG	38	39.6	30	29.7	68	34.5
	A	77	40.1	86	42.6	163	41.4
	G	115	59.9	116	57.4	231	58.6

We conduct bivariate analysis of the genotype and allele of VEGF rs2010963C>G, VEGF rs1271283232T>C, TGF B-1 rs1982073C>T, TGF B-1 rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T, MMP9 rs367601348A>G gene polymorphisms to see the association of each polymorphism and DFU occurrence in patients. We compared mutant genotype with the wild type genotype as reference.

There isstatistically significant no difference of DFU risk between each mutant genotype of VEGF rs2010963C>G and VEGF rs1271283232T>C compared to the wild type. We found statistically significant difference of CT genotype of TGF-81 rs1982073C>T compared to the wild type CC where CT genotype is a protective factor of DFU (OR: 0.28, 95%CI: 0.13-0.60, p=0.001). TTgenotype TGF-81 rs1800469C>T is a risk factor of DFU compared to CC genotype (OR: 2.3, 95%CI:

1.11-5.06, p=0.025). MMP9 genes bivariate analysis found CT genotype of MMP9 rs3918242C>T is a protective factor of DFU compared to CC genotype (OR: 0.35, 95%CI: 0.19-0.64, p=0.001), while there is no significant difference found in MMP9 rs367601348A>G genes polymorphism. (Table 3). Bivariate analysis also conducted for the alleles.

There no statistically significant difference found for VEGF rs2010963C>G and rs1271283232T>C alleles. T allele in TGF-61 rs1800469 is a risk factor of DFU compared to C allele (OR: 1.65, 95%CI: 1.11-2.47, p=0.017). CT genotype of TGF-β1 rs1982073is statistically significant, however. no statistically significant difference found when comparing T allele with C allele (OR: 0.85, 95%CI: 0.55-1.33, p=0.554). T allele in MMP9 rs3918242 is a protective factor of DFU compared to C allele (OR: 0.41, 95%CI: 0.26-0.67, p=0.001). isno statistically significant difference of allele comparison found for MMP9 rs367601348A>G (table 3).

Table 3: Bivariate Analysis of Genotypes and Alleles in VEGF rs2010963C>G, rs1271283232T>C, TGF B-1 rs1982073C>T, rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T, rs367601348A>G Gene Polymorphisms in al (n=197)

			DFU (+) n=96		DFU (-) n=101				p*
Genes	polymorphisms						OR	95% CI	
		n	%	n	%				
VEGF	rs2010963	GG	18	18.8	23	22.8	0.52	0.15 - 1.73	0.289
		$^{\mathrm{CG}}$	69	71.9	72	71.3	0.63	0.21 - 1.89	0.418
		CC	9	9.4	6	5.9	Ref		
		G	105	0.55	118	0.58	0.86	0.57-1.28	0.456
		С	87	0.45	84	0.42	Ref		
VEGF	rs1271283232	CC	13	13.5	15	14.9	1.03	0.44 - 2.41	0.88
		CT	41	42.7	36	35.6	1.36	0.74 - 2.49	0.406
		TT	42	43.8	50	49.5	Ref		
		C	67	0.35	66	0.33	1.10	0.72-1.68	0.718
		Т	125	0.65	136	0.67	Ref		
TGF8 1	rs1982073	TT	19	19.8	12	11.9	1.3	0.58 - 2.95	0.506
		CT	12	12.5	35	34.7	0.28	0.13 - 0.60	0.001
		CC	65	67.7	54	53.5	Ref		
		Т	50	26.0	59	29.2	0.85	0.55-1.33	0.554
		С	142	74.0	143	70.8	Ref		
TGF8 1	rs1800469	TT	29	30.2	20	19.8	2.37	1.11 - 5.06	0.025

		CT	42	43.8	40	39.6	1.72	0.89 - 3.33	0.106
		CC	25	26.0	41	40.6	Ref		
		Т	100	52.1	80	39.6	1.65	1.11-2.47	0.017
		С	92	47.9	122	60.4	Ref		
MMP9	rs3918242C>T	TT	0	0.0	5	5.0	-	_	0.999
		TC	33	34.4	57	56.4	0.35	0.19 - 0.64	0.001
		CC	63	65.6	39	38.6	Ref		
		Т	33	17.2	67	33.2	0.41	0.26-0.67	0.001
		C	159	82.8	135	66.8	Ref		
MMP9	rs367601348	GG	38	39.6	30	29.7	1.00	0.43 - 2.29	1.000
		\overline{AG}	39	40.6	56	55.4	0.55	0.24 - 1.21	0.138
		AA	19	19.8	15	14.9	Ref		
		G	115	59.9	116	57.4	1.11	0.86-1.30	0.689
		A	77	40.1	86	42.6	Ref		

^{*}Chi square

To analyze the interaction of the specified genotype polymorphism of VEGV, TGF-81 MMP-9 and genes, we conducted multivariate analysis using binary logistic regression. After taking into consideration the interaction of all polymorphism studied, we found statistically significant results, in TGF-81 which CTgenotype in rs1982073C>T is a protective factor of DFU compared to CC genotype. (OR: 0.29; 95%CI: 0.12-0.69, p=0.005). We also found that TT genotype in rs1800469C>T is a risk factor of DFU (OR: 3.18; 95%CI: 1.27-7.96, p=0.013). CT genotype in MMP9 rs3918242C>T polymorphism is a protective factor of DFU in diabetic patients compared to those with CC genotype (OR: 0.36, 95%CI: 0.19-0.69, p: 0.002). We found no statistically significant results for the rest of gene polymorphism studied (Table 4).

Table 4: Multivariate Analysis of Genotypes in VEGF rs2010963C>G, VEGF rs1271283232T>C, TGF B-1 rs1982073C>T, TGF B-1 rs1800469C>T, and MMP9 rs3918242C>T, MMP9 rs367601348A>G Gene Polymorphisms in

Patients with Diabetic Foot Ulcer in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital (n=197)

					DF	FU (-)			
Gene	Polymorphis	m	n	=96	n=	=101	OR (Exp(B))	95% CI	p*
			n	%	n	%			i
VEGF	rs2010963	GG	18	18.8	23	22.8	0.53	0.13 - 2.07	0.366
		CG	69	71.9	72	71.3	0.91	0.26 - 3.12	0.885
		CC	9	9.4	6	5.9	Ref		
VEGF	rs1271283232	TT	42	43.8	50	49.5	1.24	0.47 - 3.26	0.652
		CT	41	42.7	36	35.6	1.24	0.46 - 3.29	0.667
		CC	13	13.5	15	14.9	Ref		
TGF	rs1982073	TT	19	19.8	12	11.9	1.81	0.72 - 4.57	0.206
TOF	181302073	CT	12	12.5	35	34.7	0.29	0.12 - 4.57 $0.12 - 0.69$	0.200
		CC	65	67.7	54	53.5	Ref	0.12 - 0.09	0.005
			00	01.1	04	00.0	1001		
TGF	rs1800469	TT	29	30.2	20	19.8	3.18	1.27 - 7.96	0.013
		CT	42	43.8	40	39.6	1.79	0.82 - 3.87	0.138
		CC	25	26.0	41	40.6	Ref		
100	2212212	mm							
MMP	rs3918242	TT	0	0.0	5	5.0	_	_	0.999
		CT	33	34.4	57	56.4	0.36	0.19 - 0.69	0.002
		CC	63	65.6	39	38.6	Ref		
100	20-20-212			20.0		20.5	0.01		0.040
MMP	rs367601348	GG	38	39.6	30	29.7	0.91	0.35 - 2.31	0.842
		AG	39	40.6	56	55.4	0.50	0.20 - 1.21	0.127
		AA	19	19.8	15	14.9			

^{*}Binary logistic regression

Discussion

From the subject characteristics of patients in this study, the relationship between type-2 DM and sex is not significantly (p=0.835; p>0.05) different from the study by K Singh et al. (2013) that involved more men (85%) and fewer women (15%) [21]. Type-2 DM patients with DFU aged 50-59 years dominated other age groups, in line with a report from Hicks et al (2016) that stated an increased risk of DFU as age advances [22]. In this study, BMI was statistically classified $\mathbf{a}\mathbf{s}$ underweight, normoweight, and overweight.

Overweight and obesity are known to be associated with the with type-2 DM, and obesity is known to increases the risk of microvascular complications, associated with the rise of HbA1C, LDL, and systolic blood pressure. We find no statistically significant difference of the DFU occurrence in BMI groups in this study. On the other hand, smoking and DM comorbidities such as hypertension are also found to increase the chance of DFU formation in type 2 DM patients [23]. Neuropathies as a results of microangiopathy in DM patients, lead to patients ignoring the presence of wounds or trauma due to disorders in pain perception. Wounds usually expand in a short period accompanied by accidental trauma [4, 7].

This is often associated with ulcer formation, which in this study was found with OR =9.43 (95%CI: 3.76-23.63) and p<0.001. Type-2 DM also results in macroangiopathy complications leading to the development of PAD (OR=10.51, p<0.05), the symptoms of which include rest pain (OR=12.70, p<0.05) and claudication. (OR=9.12, p<0.05). The statistically significant association of neuropathy and PAD strongly confirmed the important role of neuropathy and PAD in the process of DFU formation.

From hematologic characteristics, the prevalence of anemia in patients with DFU is currently increasing. This study found the average level of blood hemoglobin was 11.43 g/dl. The presence of anemia in 37.5% of patients with DFU, and only in 12.9% of patients without DFU (OR: 4, 06, 95%CI: 1.98-8.29, p<0.001). Chuan (2014) recorded the much higher incidence of anemia in DFU patients as high as 59.3%-61.8% [24]. Causes of anemia include chronic

inflammation, diabetic nephropathy, and malnutrition. Chronic inflammation is said to be the most common cause of anemia in patients with diabetes [24]. DM patients managed in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital showed 53.8% of DM patients had WBC levels over 10,000 cells/µL with 77.1% of DM patients with DFU had a WBC level of >10,000 cells/µL.

This reason of the WBC increase (leukocytosis) thought because most patients who were managed in Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital had suffered from diabetic ulcers for a long time and therefore had been complicated with infections. It could also be due to chronic hyperglycemic conditions, immune response disorders, neuropathy, and PAD, which could play as predisposing factors for acquiring infections.

Although random blood glucose level is not the ideal parameter to assess glycemic control in DM patients, patients with hyperglycemia during random blood glucose level measurement (blood glucose >200mg/dL) have higher probability of DFU formation compared to non-hyperglycemic patients. This support the importance of controlling blood glucose level to prevent DFU formation. Higher level of VEGF has been associated with type 2 DM and its complication [9-12].

The VEGF gene is located on chromosome 6p21.3 and consists of 8 exons exhibiting alternate splicing to form a family of proteins. VEGF rs2010963C>G is a polymorphism of 5' prime untranslated (UTR) region. The polymorphism at VEGF rs2010963was predicted to lie within a potential myeloid zinc finger protein (MZF1) binding site in which C allele reduce the binding specificity of this transcription factor binding motifs.[11,12] Thus, G allele associated with increases VEGF production.

The study by Watson (2000) also shows dose dependent VEGF production where the highest VEGF protein production was recorded for the GG genotype, intermediate for GC, and the lowest for the CC genotype T>C [12].VEGF rs1271283232 is polymorphism located in regulatory region allele VEGF gene. \mathbf{C} ofVEGFrs1271283232 gene associated with higher level of VEGF, while T allele reduce the production of VEGF [11].Genotypic

distribution of VEGF rs2010963C>G gene polymorphism was found to be as follows. Wild-type CC was found to comprise 7,6%, mutant heterozygote CG 71,6% and mutant homozygote GG 20,8%. Cumulatively, 92,4% of the genotypes were found to be mutant genotypes. There was an increase of G alleles as a mutantt allele as big as 223(56.6%).

In their study identifying the correlation between rs2010963 polymorphism markers of carotid atherosclerosis patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus, Merlo et al. Reported the genotype distributions for rs2010963 polymorphisms among Caucasians as follows: CC genotype 8.7%, CG genotype 47.1%, and GG genotype 44.2% ($\chi^2 = 3.48$; p = 0.06) for those with type diabetes and CC genotype 9%, CG genotype 48%, and GG genotype 43% (x2 =1.46; p = 0.22) for subjects in their control [25].Meanwhile, the genotypic distribution of VEGF rs1271283232T>C gene polymorphism was found to consist of 46.7% wild-type TT, 39,1% (77) mutant heterozygote CT and 14,2% (28) mutant homozygote CC. The distribution of allele T as a mutant allele in VEGF -460 T>C gene polymorphism was 261(66,2%).

Both bivariate and multivariate analysis statistically insignificant vield results. failed Allele analysis also to show statistically significant difference for both polymorphisms. TGF-81 regulates many kinds of cell activities such as cell growth, differentiation, production matrix fibrosis in various tissues such as heart and blood vessels. TGF-81 is one kind of several proteins that triggers the extracellular matrix protein overproduction [13-15]. The fibrosis caused by TGF-81 overproduction in blood vessels have a role in the microvascular and macrovascular complication of DM [14].An increased TGF-81 production has been reported in microvascular DM complication such as diabetic nephropathy [25].

Log-transformed TGF-81 (logTG-81) was higher in patients with neuropathy than in those without LogTGF81 (OR7.5; P.006) [26]. TGF-81 rs1982073C>T is 60.4% CC, 23.9% heterozygote CT, and 15.7% mutant homozygote TT. Allele analysis found 109 (27.7%) mutant T allele and 285 (72.3%) C allele.

Among Turkish osteoporotic patients and controls, Tural et al. reported the frequency of 18.4% and 15.7% for CC genotype, 42.4% and 51.9% for CT genotype, and 31.6% and 22.2% for CC genotype, respectively [27]. Bivariate analysis found statistically significant difference where CT genotype is a protective factor of DFU (OR:0.28, 95%CI:0.13-0.60, p:0.001) compared to CC genotype. Multivariate analysis also found CT genotype in TGF-81 rs1982073C>T is a protective factor of DFU compared to CC genotype. (OR: 0.29; 95%CI: 0.12-0.69, p: 0.005).

allele However, analysis shows no statistically significant difference found when comparing T allele with C allele (OR: 0.85, 95%CI: 0.55-1.33, p: 0.554). TGF-β1 rs1982073C>T is an SNP located in the first exon of the TGF B 1 gene. The C allele of TGF-81 rs1982073 gene that codes proline has been associated with microvascular complication of DM, such as nephropathy, retinopathy and also neuropathy that is the main cause of DFU formation, when compared to T allele of TGF-81 rs1982073 gene which codes leucine [17]. A study of with 400 Caucasians type-2 diabetes determined that rs1982073(C) associated with diabetic nephropathy (OR: 1.85, 95%CI: 1.39-2.46, P<0.05) [27].

Although our allele analysis not enough to reach statistically significant association, the trend shows that T allele has smaller probability of DFU formation compared to C allele. (OR: 0.85, 95CI: 0.55-1.33). The genotypic distribution of the TGF-81 rs1271283232T>C gene was 33.5% wild-type CC, 41.6% mutant heterozygote CT, and 24.9% mutant homozygote TT.

Allele distributions shows T as a mutant allele composed 180(45.7%) of total allele, and 214(54.3%) of C allele. Bivariate analysis show TT genotype of TGF-81 rs1800469C>T is a risk factor of DFU genotype compared to CC(OR:2.3, 95%CI:1.11-5.06, p:0.025), And multivariate shows TTanalysis genotype in rs1800469C>T is a risk factor of DFU (OR:3.18; 95%CI: 1.27-7.96, p:0.013).

Allele analysis shows T allele in TGF-81 rs1800469 is a risk factor of DFU compared to C allele (OR: 1.65, 95%CI: 1.11-2.47, p:0.017).TGF-81 rs1800469, also known as

-509 C>T, is an SNP in the promoter region of TGF-β1 gene. This SNP does not change the nature of the TGF-β1 protein, instead it changes the amount of the protein produced. The T allele increases the amount of TGF-β1 produced, by preventing AP1 from binding to this region where the C allele would normally downregulate production. The increased TGF-β1 production with the T allele is thought to be the causes of all of the health effects of this SNP [29]. The MMP9 gene in humans is located at chromosome 20q11.2-13.1. MMP9 rs367601348 located in coding sequence of MMP9 gene. [30] MMP9 rs367601348 is a missense varian in which

A allele codes Threonine (AAG), while G allele codes Alanine (GAG) although the phenotype effects of this polymorphism have not been observed vet [20]. The genotypic distribution of MMP9 rs367601348A>G gene was 17.3% wild-type AA, 48.2% mutant heterozygote AG, and 34.5%homozygote GG. Allele distributions shows G as a mutant allele compose 231(58.6%) of total allele, and 163(41.4%) of C allele. while there is no significant difference found in MMP9 rs367601348A>G polymorphism. There is no statistically significant difference of allele comparison found for MMP9 rs367601348A>G.

This result suggests there might be no effects of the amino acid changes toward the MMP9 protein. MMP9 gene polymorphism is strongly thought to influence the process of ulcer formation and wound healing in patients with DFU. Increase in MMP9 is predicts wound healing in diabetic foot ulcer due to increase in extracellular matrix degradation and slows fibrosis [16, 17, 30]. rs3918242C>T MMP9 located upstream part of promoter and contains a binding site for transcriptional repression. genotypes with the T allele, this transcriptional repression is reduced or even removed altogether, therefore potentially increasing the expression of MMP9 which cause an increase in extracellular matrix degradation, as well as slowing down interstitial fibrosis [30].

As a result, the healing and progression of ulcers become slower. On the other side, the C allele might potentially change the expression of MMP9 in favor of the promotion and healing of ulcers [19].

Previous study by K Singh (2013) found gene distribution in DFU (CC, TC, TT) of the MMP9 rs3918242C>T found it to be 54.6%, 42,7% and 2.7% [19]. Buraczynska *et al.* (2015) found gene distribution (CC, CT, TT) of the MMP9 rs3918242C>T of 62, 4%, 34, 2%, and 3, 4%, among their Caucasian study population [31].

T allele frequency in patients with DFU was 24.1% and in controls was 13.67%. Comparison of allele frequencies showed statistically significant difference between patients versus control group (OR: 2.112, 95% CI: 1.38-3.126, P: 00048 for DFU vs control). The combined risk genotype (CT + TT) frequencies of MMP9 rs3918242C>T (OR: 2.37, 95% CI: 1.47-4.81, p: 003 for DFU vs control). In this study frequency distribution of MMP9 rs3918242C>T is CC, 51.8% wild-type 45.7%mutant CT, heterozygote and 2.5%mutant homozygote TT.

Allele analysis found 294 (74.6%) mutant T allele, and 100(25.4%) C allele, Statistical analysis shows paradoxical paradoxical results compared to the theory and previous studies. Bivariate analysis shows CT genotype of MMP9 rs3918242C>T found as a protective factor of DFU CCcompared to genotype (OR:0.35, 95%CI:0.19-0.64, p:0.001),multivariate analysis shows CT genotype in MMP9 rs3918242C>T polymorphism is a protective factor of DFU compared to those with CC genotype (OR:0.36, 95%CI:0.19-0.69, p:0.002).

T allele distribution in MMP9 rs3918242 is a protective factor of DFU compared to C allele (OR: 0.41, 95%CI: 0.26-0.67, p: 0.001).Buraczynska *et al.* (2015) found that MMP9 rs3918242C>T polymorphism is significantly correlated with the risk of stroke in patients with and without DM, with T allele carriers were younger at the onset of stroke (63.5 \pm 11.7 years) than patients with CC genotype (71 \pm 14.1 years) (p <0.005) [31].

The results of both this study and ours provide insights on how single nuclear polymorphism of MMP9 gene produces changes in two different vascular beds of DM patients. As with existing studies, this study has several limitations, including the presence of other risk factors that could not be included in the analysis.

However, it is hoped that this study can be used as a reference for genetic consultations of type-2 DM patients, and can lay down a foundation for further investigations.

Conclusion

In conclusion, CT genotype in TGF-81 rs1982073, and CT genotype in MMP9 rs3918242 are the protective factor of DFU while TT genotype in TGF-81 rs1800469 is a risk factor of DFU in diabetic patients compared to their wild type genotype. Allele analysis shows T allele mutation in TGF-81

rs1800469C>T is a risk factor of DFU, while T allele mutation in MMP9 rs3918242C>T is a protective factor of DFU. These finding suggest these single nucleotide polymorphisms mentioned may have role in the DFU formation. Further studies needed effects ofexamine $_{
m the}$ rs1982073C>T, TGF-81 rs1800469C>T and MMP9 rs3918242C>T to the changes of TGF-81 and MMP9 cytokine expression and structure as the possible mechanism in which those polymorphism might affect the DFU formation process.

References

- 1. Alexiadou K, Doupis J (2012) Management of diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes therapy: research, treatment and education of diabetes and related disorders, 3(1): 4. doi:10.1007/s13300-012-0004-9
- 2. Frykberg RG, Armstrong DG, Giurini J, Edwards A, Kravette M, Kravitz S, et al (2000) Diabetic foot disorders: a clinical practice guideline. J. Foot Ankle Surg., 39(5):S1-60.
- 3. Lavery LA, Armstrong DG, Vela SA, Quebedeaux TL, Fleischli JG (1998) Practical criteria for screening patients at high risk for diabetic foot ulceration. Arch. Intern. Med., 158: 157-162.
- 4. Feldman EL, Russell JW, Sullivan KA, Golovoy D (1999) New insights into the pathogenesis of diabetic neuropathy. Curr Opin Neurol., 5: 553-563.
- 5. Khan NA, Rahim SA, Anand SS, Simel DL, Panju A (2006) Does the clinical examination predict lower extremity peripheral arterial disease?. JAMA., 295: 536-546.
- 6. Boulton AJ (2008) The diabetic foot: grand overview, epidemiology and pathogenesis. Diabetes Metab Res Rev., 24(1):3-6.
- 7. Dvorak HF, Brown LF, Detmar M, Dvorak AM (1995) Vascular permeability factor/vascular endothelial growth factor, micro vascular hyper permeability, and angiogenesis. Am J. Pathol., 146: 1029-39.
- 8. Unemori EN, Ferrara N, Bauer EA, Amento EP (1992) Vascular endothelial growth factor induces interstitial

- collagenase expression in human endothelial cells. J. Cell Physiol., 153: 557-62.
- 9. Aiello LP, Wong JS (2000) Role of vascular endothelial growth factor in diabetic vascular complications. Kidney Int. Suppl., 77: S113-9.
- 10. Caldwell RB, Bartoli M, Behzadian MA, El-Remessy AE, Al-Shabrawey M, Platt DH, et al (2003) Vascular endothelial growth factor and diabetic retinopathy: pathophysiological mechanisms and treatment perspectives. Diabetes Metab Res Rev., 19: 442-55.
- 11. Feghhi M, Nikzamir A, Esteghamati A, Mahmoudi T, Yekaninejad MS (2011) Relationship of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) +405 G/C polymorphism and proliferative retinopathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. Translational Research, 158(2): 85-91.
- 12. Watson CJ, Webb NJ, Bottomley MJ, et al (2000) Identification of polymorphisms within the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) gene: correlation with variation in VEGF protein production. Cytokine, 12: 1232-5.
- 13. Leinninger GM, Vincent AM, Feldman EL (2004) The role of growth factors in diabetic peripheral neuropathy. J. Peripher Nerv. Syst., 9: 26-53.
- 14. Jude EB, Blakytny R, Bulmer J, Boulton AJ, Ferguson MW (2002) Transforming growth factor-beta 1, 2, 3 and receptor type I and II in diabetic foot ulcers. Diabet Med., 19: 440-447.

- 15. Zubair M, Ahmad J (2019) Role of growth factors and cytokines in diabetic foot ulcer healing: A detailed review. Rev Endocr Metab Disord., 20(2):207-17.
- 16. Anjaneyulu M, Berent-Spillson A, Inoue T, Choi J, Cherian K, Russell JW (2008) Transforming growth factor-beta induces cellular injury in experimental diabetic neuropathy. Exp. Neurol., 211(2):469-479.
- 17. Buraczynska M, Baranowicz-Gaszczyk I, Borowicz E, Ksiazek A (2007) TGF-81 and TSC-22 Gene Polymorphisms and Susceptibility to Microvascular Complications in Type 2 Diabetes. Nephron Physiology, 106(4):69-75.
- 18. Shah R, Hurley CK, Posch PE (2006) A molecular mechanism for the differential regulation of TGF-beta1 expression due to the common SNP -509C-T (c. -1347C > T) Hum Genet, 120(4):461-469.
- 19. Singh K, Agrawal NK, Gupta SK, Singh K (2013) A functional single nucleotide polymorphism -1562c>t in the matrix metalloproteinase-9 promoter is associated with type 2 diabetes and diabetic foot ulcers. The International Journal of Lower Extremity Wounds, 12(3): 199-204.
- 20. National Center for Biotechnology Information. Database of single nucleotide polymorphism (dbSNP) (online). (Cited 2019 July). Available from: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/snp/rs367601348
- 21. Singh K, Singh VK, Agrawal NK, Gupta SK, Singh K (2013) Association of toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms with diabetic foot ulcers and application of artificial neural network in DFU risk assessment in type 2 diabetes patients. Biomed Rest Int., 2013: 318686.
- 22. Hicks CW, Selvarajah S, Mathioudakis N, et al (2016) Burden of Infected Diabetic Foot Ulcers on Hospital Admissions and Costs. Ann Vasc Surg., 33: 149-158.
- 23. Yazdanpanah L, Shahbazian H, Nazari I, et al (2018) Incidence and risk factors of diabetic foot ulcer: a population-based diabetic foot cohort (adfc study)-two-year follow-up study. Int. J. Endocrinol., 2018:7631659.

- 24. Chuan F, Zhang M, Yao Y, Tian W, He X, Zhou B (2016) Anemia in patients with diabetic foot ulcer: prevalence, clinical characteristics, and outcome. Int. J. Low Extrem Wound, 15(3):220-6.
- 25. Merlo S, Starčević JN, Mankoč S, Šantl Letonja M, Cokan Vujkovac A, Zorc M, et al (2016) Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Gene Polymorphism (rs2010963) and Its Receptor, Kinase Insert Domain-Containing Receptor Gene Polymorphism (rs2071559), and Markers of Carotid Atherosclerosis in Patients Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes Res., 2016:1482194.
- 26. Ybarra J, Pou J M, Romeo J H, Merce J, Jurado J (2010) Transforming growth factor beta 1 as a biomarker of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: cross-sectional study. Journal of Diabetes and Its Complications, 24(5): 306-312.
- 27. Tural S, Alayli G, Kara N, Tander B, Bilgici A, Kuru O (2013) Association between osteoporosis and polymorphisms of the IL-10 and TGF-beta genes in Turkish postmenopausal women. Hum Immunol., 74(9):1179-83.
- 28. Patel A, Scott WR, Lympany PA, Rippin JD, Gill GV, Barnett AH, Bain SC (2005) Warren 3/UK GoKind Study Group. The TGF-beta 1 gene codon 10 polymorphism contributes to the genetic predisposition to nephropathy in Type 1 diabetes. Diabet Med., 22: 69-73.
- 29. Martínez-Campos C, Torres-Poveda K, Camorlinga-Ponce M, et al (2019) Polymorphisms in IL-10 and TGF-β gene promoter are associated with lower risk to gastric cancer in a Mexican population. BMC Cancer, 19(1):453.
- 30. Liu Y, Min D, Bolton T, Nube V, Twig SM, Yue DK, McLennan SV (2009) Increased matrix metalloproteinase-9 predicts poor wound healing in diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetes Care, 32(1):117-119.
- 31. Buraczynska K, Kurzepa J, Ksiazek A, Buraczynska M, Rejdak K (2015) Matrix Metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) Gene Polymorphism in Stroke Patients. Neuromolecular Med., 17(4):385-90.